## PARLIAMENT OF KENYA



### THE NATIONAL ASSEMBLY

### TWELFTH PARLIAMENT-SECOND SESSION

### REPORT OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE

ON

PROCUREMENT OF EXTERNAL AUDIT SERVICES FOR THE OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR-GENERAL FOR THE FINANCIAL YEARS 2014/15, 2015/16, 2016/17 AND 2017-2018

Office of the Clerk
The National Assembly,
Parliament Buildings, Main Parliament Building
NAIROBI

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

C	HAIR'	S FOREWORD	iii
Ρl	REFAC	E	iv
	Manda	tte of the Public Accounts Committee	iv
	Object	ive of the Report	iv
	Comm	ittee Membership	iv
	1.5	Committee Secretariat	vi
1.	Intro	oduction	1
2.	The	Tendering Process	1
	2.1	Advertising	1
	2.2	Pre-Bid Meeting	2
	2.3	Bid Response	2
	2.3.1	Evaluation	3
	2.3.2	Technical Evaluation	4
	2.3.3 F	Financial Evaluation	5
	2.3.3 F	Recommendation for Award	6
3.	Con	nparative Analysis with Previous Awards	7
4.	Obs	ervation of the Public Accounts Committee	7
5.	Rece	ommendations of the Committee	7

#### CHAIR'S FOREWORD

The Public Accounts Committee derives its mandate from Standing Order 205 (2) of the National Assembly, which provides that "the Public Accounts Committee (PAC) shall be responsible for the examination of the accounts showing the appropriations of the sum voted by the House to meet the public expenditure and of such other accounts laid before the House as the Committee may think fit". The primary mandate of PAC is therefore to oversight the expenditure of public funds by ministries/departments/agencies, to ensure value for money and adherence to government financial regulations and procedures. The Committee executes its mandate on the basis of annual and special audit reports prepared by the Office of the Auditor-General (OAG).

Pursuant to the provisions of Article 226(4) of the Constitution, the National Assembly is required to appoint a professionally qualified accountant to audit the Office of the Auditor-General.

In fulfillment of the requirement of the above provision, the Committee received a recommendation of award of Tender of the said services from the Office of the Clerk of the National Assembly. The Committee observed the progress made on the procurement of External Audit Services. The Committee further observed that an offer had been made to M/s PKF Kenya subject to approval by the National Assembly pursuant to Article 226 (4) of the Constitution.

It is against this backdrop that the Committee and having satisfied itself that due process was followed, the Committee resolved to recommend to the National Assembly the approval of the award of hire of External Audit Services for the Office of the Auditor-General for the Financial Years 2014/2015; 2015/2016; 2016/2017; and, 2017-2018 to M/S PKF Kenya pursuant to the provisions of Article 226(4) of the Constitution.

On behalf of the Public Accounts Committee (PAC), and pursuant to provisions of Standing Order 181(3), it is my pleasant privilege and honour to commit this Report of the Committee to this August House.

Hon. James Opiyo Wandayi, MP

#### **PREFACE**

#### **Mandate of the Public Accounts Committee**

The Public accounts Committee (PAC) established under Standing Order 205 is responsible for examination of the accounts showing the appropriates of the sum voted by the House to meet the public expenditure and of such other accounts laid before the House as the Committee may think it.

### **Objective of the Report**

The Objective of this Report is to inform the House on the process followed in identifying a professionally qualified accountant who will provide external audit services to the office of the Auditor-General for the four (4) years period 2014/2015, 2015/2016, 2016/2017 and 2017-2018.

### **Committee Membership**

### Chairperson

Hon. James Opiyo Wandayi, MP Ugunja Constituency

### **Orange Democratic Movement Party**

### **Vice- Chairperson**

Hon. Jessica Nduku Kiko Mbalu, CBS MP Kibwezi East Constituency

### **Wiper Democratic Movement Kenya Party**

#### **Members**

Hon. Junet Mohammed Nuh, MP

Suna East Constituency

Orange Democratic Movement Party

Hon. Maj. (Rtd.) (Dr.) Eseli Simiyu, CBS,MP

Tongaren Constituency

Ford- Kenya Party

Hon. Tom J. F. Kajwang, MP

Ruaraka - Constituency

**Orange Democratic Movement Party** 

Hon. Christopher Nakuleu Doye, MP

Turkana North Constituency

**Jubilee Party** 

Hon. Patrick Makau King'ola, MP

Mavoko Constituency

**Wiper Democratic Movement Kenya Party** 

Hon. Florence Mwikai Mutua, MP

Woman Representative - Busia County

**Orange Democratic Movement Party** 

Hon. Mathias Robi Nyamabe, MP

Kuria West Constituency

**Jubilee Party** 

Hon. (Dr.) Otiende Amollo, MP

Rarieda Constituency

**Orange Democratic Movement Party** 

Hon. Gideon Koske Kimutai, MP Chepalungu Constituency Chama Cha Mwananchi Party

Hon. Michael Mwangi Muchira, MP Ol Jororok Constituency

## **Jubilee Party**

Hon. Francis Kuria Kimani, MP Molo Constituency Jubilee Party

Hon. Samson Ndindi Nyoro, MP Kiharu Constituency Jubilee Party

Hon. Peter Francis Masara, MP Suna West Constituency Independent Member

Hon. Michael Thoyah Kingi, MP Magarini Constituency **Orange Democratic Movement Party** 

Hon. James Gichuhi Mwangi, MP Tetu Constituency

Jubilee Party

Hon. Daniel Kipkogei Rono, MP Keiyo South Constituency Jubilee Party

Hon. Qalicha Gufu Wario, MP Moyale Constituency Jubilee Party

### 1.5 Committee Secretariat

Oscar Namulanda

## **Principal Clerk Assistant II**

Josh Kosiba

# **Senior Fiscal Analyst**

Peter Mwaura

# Senior Legal Counsel II

Nebert Ikai

## **Third Clerk Assistant**

Caroline M. Njue

## **Research Officer III**

Salat Abdi Ali

# Senior Serjeant At Arms

Stephen Nyakuti

**Audio Officer** 

#### 1. Introduction

Article 226(4) of the Constitution provides that, the accounts of the Office of the Auditor-General shall be audited and reported on by a professionally qualified accountant appointed by the National Assembly.

In fulfillment of the requirement of the above provision, the National Assembly tendered through Request for Proposal for Provision of External Audit Services for the Office of the Auditor General on three occasions vide open Tenders Nos NA/REF/012017-2018 (see Appendix I), NA /REF/02/2017-2018(see Appendix II) and NA/RFP/01/2018-2019 (see Appendix III). In all the three occasions the tendering process was non-responsive.

The report on the non-responsive tendering process was presented to the Public Accounts Committee. The Committee resolved that there was need to give the process another trial.

Various stakeholders expressed their concern over the issue which had been highlighted in the local media and as a result the National Assembly engaged the Institute of Certified Public Accountants of Kenya (ICPAK) and both parties agreed to work together to ensure that a suitable firm is identified in line with the Public Procurement and Asset Disposal Act 2015 to audit the Auditor General.

Subsequently the National Assembly initiated a fresh process to identify the firm to audit the Office of the Auditor-General for the four (4) years of 2014/2015, 2015/2016, 2016/2017 and 2017-2018 through TENDER NO. NA/RFP/02/2018-2019 (see Appendix IV).

### 2. The Tendering Process

#### 2.1 Advertising

The Tender was advertised on 5<sup>th</sup> April, 2019 through an Open Tender in the Daily Nation, the Standard, Business Daily, The Star Newspapers and in the Parliament and Treasury Supplier Portal websites.

The advert provided that the successful firm's responsibilities should include:-

(a) Conducting independent audit of the Organization's activities/operations in line with International Standards on Auditing and International Financial Reporting Standards and guidelines by Public Sector Accounting Standards Board.

- (b) Expressing an opinion on whether the financial statements are prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the applicable financial reporting standards and frameworks, whether the Organization has maintained proper books of accounts, and whether the accompanying financial statements give a true and fair view of the financial position of the office of the Auditor General.
- (c) Reviewing and evaluating the Organization's internal control and risk management system and advising Management and the Board on adequacy/effectiveness of the system, and proposals for its improvement.
- (d) Reviewing adequacy of the Organization's Information Systems and related infrastructure.
- (e) Providing any other value-addition services consistent with the audit of the Organization.

### 2.2 Pre-Bid Meeting

A pre-bid meeting with interested bidders was held on 17<sup>th</sup> April, 2019 to elaborate on the requirements of the tender. A total of eleven (**11**) representatives of interested bidders attended the pre-bid meeting.

Following the pre-bid meeting, the National Assembly issued an addendum ((see Appendix V). which among other guidelines, extended the tender closing date from 24<sup>th</sup> April 2019 to 3<sup>rd</sup> May 2019. The Tender required bidders to submit separate technical and financial bids.

#### 2.3 Bid Response

The following seven (7) firms responded by submitting their bids:-

No.	Bidder No.	Bidder's Name
1.	B1	Nelson & Francis Associates
2.	B3	Mazars
3.	B4	PKF Kenya
4.	B5	Ronalds and Associates
5.	B6	Ernest and Martin Associates
6.	B8	Ambale & Company Limited
7.	B9	Kiarie Kangethe & Associates

#### 2.3.1 Evaluation

A Technical Evaluation Committee was appointed by the Accounting Officer on 15<sup>th</sup> April, 2019 pursuant to Section 46 of the Public Procurement and Asset Disposal Act, 2015 to evaluate the bids submitted by the seven (7) bidders.

The Evaluation Committee concluded the evaluation exercise on 24<sup>th</sup> May, 2019 and submitted the Evaluation Report to the Head of the Procurement Function as per the provisions of the Public Procurement and Asset Disposal Act, 2015.

The above seven (7) bids were subjected to an evaluation process as per the criteria outlined in the Request for Proposal (RFP) Document issued to the bidders. The evaluation process entailed the following four (4) stages:-

- (a) Preliminary/Mandatory Evaluation
- (b) Technical Evaluation
- (c) Financial Evaluation
- (d) Determination of the highest combined score.

Arising from the evaluation process, the following three (3) firms were disqualified at the preliminary/mandatory evaluation stage for the following specified reasons:-

Bidder	Bidder's Name	Reasons for disqualification
No.		
B1	Nelson &	❖ Did not attach a valid tax compliance certificate
	Associates	❖ Did not submit a certificate of registration as firm from
		ICPAK
		❖ The practicing certificates of registration submitted were
		not valid. Expired in year 2018
В3	Mazars	❖ Did not submit the tender security
		<ul> <li>Their documents were not properly paginated</li> </ul>
		❖ Did not submit a detailed firm profile as required
B9	Kiarie Kangethe	❖ Did not submit a bid security for the tender.
	& Company,	❖ Did not submit the original and copies of the RFP
	CPA	document as required
		❖ Did not confirm the firm or its staff and partners are not
		aware of any conflict of interest or submit a sworn

Bidder	Bidder's Name	Reasons for disqualification
No.		
		declaration as required.

## 2.3.2 Technical Evaluation

The following four (4) firms proceeded to the  $2^{nd}$  stage of the evaluation which involved allocating of technical scores.

Upon being subjected to the  $2^{nd}$  stage of the evaluation, the bids for the following two (2) firms were disqualified for having scored below the set pass mark of 75% and above as set out in the Tender Documents due to the indicated reasons:-

# Reasons for disqualifications for Bidder No. 6 and 8

	Bidder	Bidder's	Technical	Weaknesses in the submitted bids	
	No.	Name	Score		
1.	B6	Ernest and	67	The firm's lacked adequate experience in	
		Martin		similar assignments (the highest amount	
		Associates		being a contract of Kshs. 1,200,000 per	
				year)-page 106).	
				■ The CV of the lead partner was not	
				comprehensive as it did not provide detailed	
				background information on level experience	
				■ The CVs of the proposed personnel were not	
				comprehensive as they lacked information on	
				level of experience of the personnel.	
				<ul> <li>No policy on rotation of staff as required</li> </ul>	
2.	B8	Ambale &	55	The firm's lacked adequate experience in	
		Company		similar assignments (the highest amount	
		Limited		being a contract of Kshs.850,000 per year)	
				The CV of the lead partner was not elaborate	
				as it lacked details of the experience of the	
				partner	
				■ The chapter on understanding of the ToRs	
				was not elaborate.	

Bio	dder	Bidder's	Technical	Weaknesses in the submitted bids
No	).	Name	Score	
				<ul> <li>The CVs of the proposed personnel were not detailed as they lacked the background information on experience of the personnel</li> <li>No policy on rotation of staff as required</li> <li>They did not provide a comprehensive suggestion on ToRs</li> <li>Did not provide adequate methodology and work plan for the assignment</li> <li>The general understanding of the assignment was not adequate</li> </ul>

The following two (2) firms were responsive to the technical requirements of the RFP Document having scored above the set pass mark of 75%:-

	Bidder No.	Bidder's Name	<b>Technical Score</b>
1	4	PKF Kenya	90
2	5	Ronalds and Associates	83.40

### 2.3.3 Financial Evaluation

The Request for Proposal (RFP) Document provided that only bids that score above the set pass mark of 75% would have their financial bids opened hence the bids for the two (2) responsive firms were opened on 22<sup>nd</sup> May, 2019 in the presence of their representatives as follows:-

Bidder	Bidder's Name	Technical	Financial	Proposal	Amount
No.		score	(Kshs.)		
4	PKF Kenya	90		41,1	85,220.00
5	Ronalds and Associates	83.40		31,6	536,320.00

The Request for Proposal (RFP) Document under Clause 2.8.5 provided that the formulae for computing the weighted combined technical and financial score with a view to determining the highest ranked score.

Upon application of the specified formulae as per the criteria, the results of the weighted combined score for the two (2) firms were as follows:-

	Bidder	Bidder's	Technical	Weighted	Financial	Weighted	Total	Ranking
	No.	Name	Score	Technical	Proposal	financial	Weighted	
				Score	Amount	score	Score	
					(Kshs.)			
			(a)	(b)=	(c)	(d)=c	e =b +c	
				(a weighted		weighted to		
				to 80%)		20%)		
1	4	PKF	90	90/100x	41,185,220	31,636,320/4	72 +15.36	Highest
		Kenya		80= <b>72</b>		1,185,220 x		ranked
						20= <b>15.36</b>		
							=87.36	
2	5	Ronalds	83.40	83.40 /100 x	31,636,320	20	66.72+20	2 <sup>nd</sup>
		and		80 = <b>66.72</b>			=86.72	highest
		Associates						ranked

The highest ranked firm was M/S PKF Kenya with a combined score of 87.36 with a total consultancy fee of Kshs. 41,185,220.00 for auditing the accounts of the Auditor-General for the four (4) Financial Years (*see Appendix VI*).

#### 2.3.4 Recommendation for Award

The Evaluation Committee recommended the highest ranked firm, PKF Kenya for consideration of the award. The Head of Procurement did not raise any objection on the recommendation (*see Appendix VIII*) and the Director, of Litigation Compliance concurred (*see Appendix VIII*) with the professional opinion issued by the Head of Procurement.

A notification of intention to enter into a contract was issued to M/s PKF Kenya and accepted on 17<sup>th</sup> June, 2019. There was no appeal from any of the other bidders within the prescribed period.

A negotiation committee has been appointed in accordance to section 46-4(a) of the Public

Procurement and Assets Disposal Act, 2015 to negotiate on other contractual terms.

3. Comparative Analysis with Previous Awards

It is worth noting that the Parliamentary Service Commission previously engaged M/s Baker

Tilly Meralli's to audit the Auditor-General for the Financial Years 2011/2012, 2012/2013

and 2013/2014.

After the expiry of the above contract, the Parliamentary Service Commission in 2016

attempted to identify a professionally qualified accountant to provide external audit services

to the office of the Auditor-General for the three (3) years period 2014/2015; 2015/2016; and,

2016/2017 but the process was not approved by the House.

4. Observation of the Public Accounts Committee

At its meeting held Tuesday, 24<sup>th</sup> July, 2019, The Committee observed the progress made on

the procurement of External Audit Services. The Committee further observed that an offer

had been made to M/s PKF Kenya subject to approval by the National Assembly pursuant to

Article 226 (4) of the Constitution.

Subsequently, the Committee resolved that there was need to move a Motion in the House to

approve the award.

5. Recommendations of the Committee

The Committee recommends to the National Assembly-

1. To note the contents of this Report.

2. To approve the award of hire of External Audit Services for the Office of the

Auditor-General for the Financial Years 2014/2015; 2015/16; 2016/2017; and,

2017-2018 to M/S PKF Kenya pursuant to the provisions of Article 226(4) of

the Constitution.

7

......Date ..25<sup>th</sup> July, 2019.....

Hon. James Opiyo Wandayi, MP

**Chairman, Public Accounts Committee** 

# **APPENDIX**

Appendix I	-Extracts of the Newspaper Advertisements/Tender Notice No. NA/REF/01/2017-2018
Appendix II	-Extracts of the Newspaper Advertisements/Tender Notice No. NA/REF/02/2017-2018
Appendix III	-Extracts of the Newspaper Advertisements/Tender Notice No. NA/RFP/01/2018-2019
Appendix IV	-Extracts of the Newspaper Advertisements/Tender Notice No. NA/RFP/02/2018-2019
Appendix V	-Addendum to the Tender and Evaluation Requirements on Tender Notice No. NA/RFP/02/2018-2019
Appendix VI	-Evaluation Report
Appendix VII	- Professional Opinion from the Head of Procurement
Appendix VIII	I- Legal Opinion from the Directorate of Litigation and Compliance, Parliament
Appendix IX-	Notification of Award of Tender
Appendix X-	Acknowledgement and Acceptance of the Offer
Appendix XI-	Minutes of the Public Accounts Committee for the 197 <sup>th</sup> Meeting