



REPUBLIC OF KENYA

TWELFTH PARLIAMENT – FIFTH SESSION

THE NATIONAL ASSEMBLY

VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 30, 2021

1. The House assembled at Thirty Minutes past Two O'clock in the afternoon
2. The Proceedings were opened with Prayer
3. **Presiding** – the Honourable Speaker
4. **COMMUNICATION FROM THE CHAIR**

The following Communication was issued by the Speaker-

**ON CONSIDERATION OF A PETITION ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE
COMPETENCY BASED CURRICULUM**

“Honourable Members, you will recall that during the afternoon sitting of the House of Thursday, 23rd September, 2021, the Hon. Wilson Sossion M. P, presented a public Petition on behalf of parents and education stakeholders seeking the *Scrapping of the Implementation of the Competence Based Curriculum (CBC)*. The Hon. Member, while noting that the matters forming the subject of the Petition were not pending before a court of law, prayed for the House, through the Departmental Committee on Education and Research, to—

- (a) consider scaling down changes in the education system from the extensive reforms being undertaken to a review to ensure sustainability and smooth implementation of the Kenya School curriculum;*
- (b) intervene with a view to scrapping the implementation of the CBC and, further, subjecting it to forensic audit and replacing it with the previous well versed and tested 8-4-4 Education Curriculum that has served this country for 36 years;*
- (c) recommend for accountability and action to be taken against the State Officers and individuals for their susceptible actions through investigation and prosecution for the current failure and mess of the curriculum; and,*
- (d) make any other recommendations that may deem fit in the circumstances of this Petition.*

Hon. Members, You will also recall that an immediate question arose as to whether the matters sought to be addressed by the Hon. Sossion were active in court. Indeed, the Member for Rarieda, the Hon. Otiende Amollo also rose on a Point of Order under Standing Order 89(3) (c) and informed the House of a pending Constitutional Petition before the High Court of Kenya dealing with matters substantively related to those

canvassed in the Petition. The Hon. Otiende Amollo cited the High Court case number as No.E371 and sought the guidance of the Speaker on whether the Petition ought to be committed to a Committee of the House in light of the pending and active court proceedings. The Leader of the Majority Party, the Hon. Amos Kimunya, M. P., and the Leader of the Minority Party, the Hon. John Mbadi also raised similar concerns, cautioning against the House being seen as unnecessarily interfering with the mandate of another arm of government or engaging in a process that may be rendered futile, and therefore a waste of parliamentary time and resources in the event the courts were to render a judgment that varies from the resolution of the House. The Leader of the Majority Party additionally noted that this House had approved *Sessional Paper No. 1 of 2019 on the Policy Framework for Reforming Education and Training for Sustainable Development in Kenya* effectively approving the policy on the Competence Based Curriculum.

Consequently, **Hon. Members** I directed the Hon. Sossion to avail copies of the pleadings in the cited Petition No. E371 for comparison as against the prayers sought in his Petition. I also undertook to guide the House on the fate of the Petition and how it should proceed.

Hon. Members, I wish to confirm that I have received copies of the pleadings in Petition No. E371 of 2021 **Esther Awuor Adero Ang'awa – Vs – The Cabinet Secretary responsible for matters concerning Basic Education & 7 Others** filed at the Nairobi High Court.

In the pleadings, the National Assembly is listed as a Respondent and various acts and omissions are attributed to the House in *challenging the implementation of the Competency Based Curriculum*. Notably, **Hon. Members**, the Petitioner claims that the National Assembly had abdicated its duty to enact legislation and regulations necessary to facilitate the development and approval of a curriculum for basic education and failed to oversight the Ministry of Education in the development and sustainability of an inclusive, equitable, quality, relevant and acceptable basic education curriculum. This, as stated in the Petition before the Court, has resulted in the denial, violation or infringement, or threat to deny, violate or infringe various on provisions of the Constitution relating to the rights of children to education and free and compulsory basic education. Ms. Adero concludes by seeking an order of the High Court directed to the Cabinet Secretary and the Kenya Institute for Curriculum Development to formulate regulations in respect to policy and guidelines on curricula in accordance with Sections 73 and 74 of the Basic Education Act and Section 4 of the Kenya Institute of Curriculum Development Act, respectively, and to table the same before the National Assembly for approval within 90 days of the making of the order.

Hon. Members, I am further informed by the Clerk of the National Assembly, who was served on behalf of the House in the court matter, that the Petitioner had sought various orders from the Court pending the hearing and determination of the Petition to the effect that—

- (a) The Petition raises substantial questions of law under Article 165(3)(b) and (d) and (4) of the Constitution of Kenya.
- (b) The Petition be referred to the Chief Justice for assignment of an uneven number of judges, being not less than five to hear it.
- (c) An order of injunction restraining the Respondents from further implementing the CBC curriculum; and
- (d) A conservatory order staying further implementation of the CBC curriculum.

These orders were not granted and the matter is scheduled for the hearing of an application on the joinder of parties on 21st October, 2021.

Hon. Members, From the summary of the matter before court that I have given and the various orders it seeks, you will agree with me that the concern raised by the Hon. Otiende Amolo on the application of the *Sub judice* Rule as contemplated under our Standing Order 89 is valid. Standing Order 89 provides, and I quote—

(1) Subject to paragraph (5), no Member shall refer to any particular matter which is sub judice or which, by the operation of any written law, is secret.

(2) A matter shall be considered to be sub judice when it refers to active criminal or civil proceedings and the discussion of such matter is likely to prejudice its fair determination.

(3) In determining whether a criminal or civil proceeding is active, the following shall apply—

(a) criminal proceedings shall be deemed to be active when a charge has been made or a summons to appear has been issued;

(b) criminal proceedings shall be deemed to have ceased to be active when they are concluded by verdict and sentence or discontinuance;

(c) civil proceedings shall be deemed to be active when arrangements for hearing, such as setting down a case for trial, have been made, until the proceedings are ended by judgment or discontinuance;

(d) appellate proceedings whether criminal or civil shall be deemed to be active from the time when they are commenced by application for leave to appeal or by notice of appeal until the proceedings are ended by judgment or discontinuance.

(4) A Member alleging that a matter is sub judice shall provide evidence to show that paragraphs (2) and (3) are applicable.

(5) Notwithstanding this Standing Order, the Speaker may allow reference to any matter before the House or a Committee.

Hon. Members, The Rule is premised on the constitutional principle of separation of powers in furtherance of which Parliament restrains itself from interfering in a matter that either falls under the purview of, or is actively under adjudication by a court of law. The House voluntarily imposes the *sub judice* Rule on itself depending on the circumstances of each case.

Hon. Members, As I see it, the following three questions must be answered in the affirmative for a matter to attract the application of Standing Order 89 to preclude the House or its committees from considering a matter—

(1) Does the matter refer to proceedings before the court?

(2) Are the proceedings before court active? i.e—

(i) With regard to criminal proceedings, has a charge been made or a summons to appear issued?

(ii) With regard to civil proceedings, have arrangements for hearing of the case been made?

(3) Is the discussion of the matter by the House likely to prejudice the fair determination of the proceedings before court?

Hon. Members, Pursuant to the provisions of Standing Order 89(5), the Speaker would thereafter exercise his or her discretion on whether to allow debate to proceed in furtherance of the constitutional imperative imposed on the House by Article 95(2) of the Constitution to deliberate on and resolve issues of concern to the people.

The framers of the provision were alive to the fact that, a strict application of the Rule had the capacity to hinder discharge of the mandate of the House by allowing a mischievous person to file frivolous and dilatory matter before the court, obtain a hearing date, and effectively stall any parliamentary processes seeking to address the matter for years. Previous Speakers have guided as much. In fact, my predecessor, the Hon. Speaker Kenneth Marende is on record as having guided in his Communication on the Report of the appointment of the Director of the Kenya Anti-Corruption Commission issued on 10th September 2009 that, where the House begins to consider any matter before it that is the subject of litigation, the House will not give up jurisdiction of the matter unless for weighty reasons. Crucially, the Hon. Speaker Marende proceeded to caution that, and I quote—

*“the discretion given to the Speaker or chair [to allow reference to a matter actively before the court] **must be exercised with the utmost caution and must not be resorted to except where exceptional circumstances so require.** In a matter of immense public interest, where there is a doubt, unless sound grounds are advanced, a presumption should exist in favour of allowing debate in the House as opposed to the application of the rule to suppress debate.”*

Hon. Members, I am cognizant that I have had occasion to guide the House in the *Communication on the Violation of labour laws and tax evasion by Bidco (Africa) Ltd* issued on 27th October, 2016 that the discussion of a relief sought from the House that is similar to a prayer sought in an active Court process is likely to prejudice the outcome of the Court process. An interrogation of the Petition before the House and the matters before the High Court, answers all (3) questions formulated to test whether a matter attracts the application of Standing Order 89 **in the affirmative** as follows—

- (1) The Petition by the Hon. Member does refer to proceedings before the court. Both the Petition before the House and Petition No. E371 of 2021 seek to either stay or stop the implementation of the Competency Based Curriculum by the Ministry responsible for Basic Education as their substantive prayer;
- (2) The proceedings in Petition No. E371 of 2021 are **active**. As a matter of fact, Petition No. E371 of 2021 was filed on 17th September, 2021. It is a civil matter and is slated for the hearing of an application on the joinder of parties in the case on 21st October, 2021;
- (3) Would the discussion of the matter by the House likely prejudice the fair determination of the proceedings before court? Since both processes seek a similar prayer and the National Assembly is listed as a Respondent in Petition No. E371 of 2021 and has also been served with the relevant court pleadings, **it would be impossible for the House or its Committees to deliberate on any or all of the prayers sought in the Hon. Member’s Petition without touching on matters referring to matters canvassed in the Petition before court.**

What remains therefore **Hon. Members,** is the question of whether the Petition by Hon. Sossion should benefit from the discretion granted to the Speaker by Standing Order 89(5).

Hon. Members, In presenting his Petition before the House, the Hon. Sossion noted that he was doing so on behalf of “parents and education stakeholders”. On their part, the pleadings in Petition No. E371 of 2021 describe the Petitioner as a “parent”. In terms of the choice of forum, both Hon. Sossion (and the citizens on whose behalf he is acting) and the parent who elected to seek orders from the court are well within their

constitutional rights. A fine balance must therefore be struck to allow the fair determination of a grievance that is common to the parties.

My considered opinion remains that the conduct of a parallel process in Parliament to consider a Petition in which the substantive prayer sought is similar to the prayer sought in a matter filed in court would definitely prejudice the outcome of the matter in court. The idea of sanctioning parallel proceedings becomes more unpalatable when one considers that the Petition before the Court was filed **earlier** than the Petition before the House, and that the House is listed as a Respondent and has been served with the pleadings.

Hon. Members, To my mind, the discretion given to the Speaker to determine the instances where Standing Order 89 applies is meant to shield the House from dilatory tactics adopted by a party intent on precluding a matter from being debated in the House for the simple reason that it is before the courts. Being a **fresh** matter filed by a public-spirited citizen and fellow parent directly affected by a policy decision made by the Executive, the court process does not appear to be a frivolous or dilatory attempt intended to stifle consideration of any business proposed or under consideration in this House. For these reasons I am minded not to exercise the discretion granted under Standing Order 89(5).

Hon. Members, In arriving at this decision, I wish to clearly distinguish the treatment of another Petition also before the House despite the existence of active court proceedings. You will recall that during the afternoon sitting of Tuesday, 21st September, 2021, I did report to the House a Petition by Mr. Antony Manyara and Mr. Joseph Wangai on the *Repeal of the Finance Act, 2018 to address increases in prices of petroleum products* ("The Fuel Prices Petition"). I did commit the Petition, the Petition presented by the Hon. Stephen Mule on the same matter and various Questions and Statements related to the matter to the Departmental Committee on Finance and National Planning with specific instructions to table its report within 14 days in view of the urgency of the matter of escalating fuel prices and to attach a draft Bill to its report for meaningful consideration by the House in the exercise of its legislative mandate.

Subsequently, a case was filed in court seeking the quashing of the provisions imposing the increased Value Added Tax on petroleum and petroleum products. The question that obviously arises is whether this scenario would invite the application of Standing Order 89 to preclude the House from proceeding with its consideration of the Petition.

Hon. Members, The circumstances of the *Fuel Prices Petition* differ significantly with those of the Petition presented by the Hon. Sossion when one considers **the ability of the House to resolve the prayers made with finality**. The Fuel Prices Petition sought the repeal of a law passed by this House which the petitioners claim is the root of the escalating prices of fuel and petroleum products that has a seismic effect on the cost of living. The enactment, amendment, and repeal of laws is at the core of the mandate of this Parliament to the **exclusion** of any other organ. As such, the House is able, when properly moved, to address the concern to a high degree of finality.

The Constitution places legislation within the exclusive authority of Parliament. **Conversely,** the Petition presented by the Hon. Member seeks to **stay or stop the implementation of a policy adopted by the Executive** on the manner in which it intends to fulfil its constitutional mandate of providing free and compulsory basic education.

In this regard, the House may proceed and deliberate such a matter of extreme concern to the people, but its power to resolve the matter with finality is circumscribed by the inescapable fact that the House **can only recommend** to the Executive what to adopt as

a policy decision or urge it to rectify the policy one way or the other. Where a dispute arises between the citizenry and the Executive as to the propriety of a policy decision or its effects, such a dispute may only be resolved with finality by the judicial arm of government which may either agree with the direction taken by the Executive or quash the policy decision.

In summary, Hon. Members it is my considered view that-

- (1) Contrary to the provisions of Standing Order 223(g), the Petition presented by the Hon. Sossion, MP on 23rd September, 2021 on behalf of parents and education stakeholders seeking the *Scrapping of the Implementation of the Competence Based Curriculum (CBC)* failed to disclose that it contains matter that are pending in court;
- (2) It would be impossible, at this time, for the House or its Committees to deliberate on any or all of the prayers sought in the Petition presented by the Hon. Sossion without touching on matters canvassed in the Petition before court;
- (3) The discussion in the House of the Petition presented by the Hon. Sossion is likely to prejudice the fair determination of the proceedings in the High Court Petition No. E371 of 2021 as the National Assembly is also a party in the case;
- (4) In this regard, the Petition presented by the Hon. Sossion attracts the application of the *Sub Judice* Rule as outlined in Standing Order 89 and **cannot be proceeded with at this stage**; and,
- (5) Whereas the Petition is a matter of public interest, it would be too early for the Speaker to invoke his discretion under paragraph (5) of Standing Order 89. However, should circumstances change that warrant the Speaker to invoke that discretion, including inordinate delays in its resolution, I will rise to the occasion to do so, if properly moved.

Hon. Members, as I conclude, it is worth noting that the door is not entirely closed to the Hon. Member in seeking to resolve this matter. In the event circumstances arise indicating an inordinate delay in the resolution of the matter by the Courts, the Member is at liberty to raise the matter for reconsideration by the Speaker. Additionally, as all Members are aware, any Member is at liberty to propose legislation prescribing the specific system of education he or she would want to apply to the country; or to require the approval by Parliament of any policy decision made by the Executive in that regard. Legislation presents Members with an option **exclusively within their authority** to resolve this matter of interest and grave concern to the people.

The House is accordingly guided.

I thank you!”

5. **MESSAGES**

The following Message was conveyed by the Speaker-

ON THE PASSAGE OF THE COFFEE BILL (SENATE BILL NO. 22 OF 2020); THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION AND MANAGEMENT (AMENDMENT) BILL (SENATE BILL NO. 30 OF 2020); THE PARLIAMENTARY POWERS AND PRIVILEGES (AMENDMENT) BILL (SENATE BILL NO. 33 OF 2020); THE COMMUNITY HEALTH SERVICES BILL

(SENATE BILL NO. 34 OF 2020); AND THE NATIONAL FLAG, EMBLEMS AND NAMES (AMENDMENT) BILL (SENATE BILL NO. 36 OF 2020)

“Honourable Members,

Pursuant to the provisions of Standing Order 41, I wish to report to the House that I have received a Message from the Senate regarding its passage of the following five Bills:

- (i) The National Flag, Emblems and Names (Amendment) Bill (Senate Bill No. 36 of 2020);
- (ii) The Wildlife Conservation and Management (Amendment) Bill (Senate Bill No. 30 of 2020);
- (iii) The Community Health Services Bill (Senate Bill No. 34 of 2020);
- (iv) The Parliamentary Powers and Privileges (Amendment) Bill (Senate Bill No. 33 of 2020); and
- (v) The Coffee Bill (Senate Bill No. 22 of 2020);

Honourable Members, the **first Message** relates to the passage of the National Flag, Emblems and Names (Amendment) Bill (Senate Bill No. 36 of 2020) and indicates that the Bill seeks *"to amend the National Flag, Emblems and Names Act, to ensure that the National Flag is safeguarded."*

The **second Message** is in respect of the passage of the Wildlife Conservation and Management (Amendment) Bill (Senate Bill No. 30 of 2020) which seeks *"to amend the Wildlife Conservation and Management Act to make further provision for the allocation of adequate facilities to County Wildlife Conservation and Compensation Committees established under the Act and to provide for a timeline for compensation for human death, human injury or crop and property damage caused by wildlife."*

The **third Message** relates to the passage of the **Community Health Services Bill (Senate Bill No. 34 of 2020)** which seeks *"to provide for a framework for the delivery of community health services; to promote access to primary health care services at the community level and reduce health disparities between counties; to provide for the training and capacity building of the community health workforce; and for connected purposes."*

Honourable Members, the **fourth Message** relates to the passage of the **Parliamentary Powers and Privileges (Amendment) Bill (Senate Bill No. 33 of 2020)**. The Bill seeks *"to amend the Parliamentary Powers and Privileges Act to provide a structured mechanism through which Parliament is able to receive reports from public officers on the resolutions passed by Parliament"*

The **fifth Message** is in respect of the passage of **the Coffee Bill (Senate Bill No. 22 of 2020)** seeking *"to provide for the regulation, development and promotion of the coffee industry, and for connected purposes."*

Honourable Members, the Senate having considered and passed the five Bills now seeks the concurrence of the National Assembly.

Honourable Members, Standing Orders require the Speaker to cause a Bill received from the Senate to be read a First Time upon conveyance of its Message. It is for that reason that the House Business scheduled the five Bills for a *First Reading* in today's Order Paper. After First Reading, the Bills will stand committed to respective Committees as follows-

- (i) The National Flag, Emblems and Names Bill (Senate Bill No. 36 of 2020) will stand committed to the Departmental Committee on Administration and National Security;
- (ii) The Kenya Wildlife Conservation and Management (Amendment) Bill (Senate Bill No. 30 of 2020) will stand committed to the Departmental Committee on Environment and Natural Resources;
- (iii) The Community Health Services Bill (Senate Bill No. 34 of 2020) will stand committed to the Departmental Committee on Health;
- (iv) The Parliamentary Powers and Privileges (Amendment) Bill (Senate Bill No. 33 of 2020) will stand committed to the Committee of Powers and Privileges, pursuant to Standing Order 127(6); and
- (v) The Coffee Bill (Senate Bill No. 22 of 2020) will stand committed to the Departmental Committee on Agriculture and Livestock.

In considering the Bills, the said Committees will be expected to among other things, apply themselves to the provisions of Articles 109(5) and 114 of the Constitution relating to origination and definition of a *'Money Bill'* and make appropriate recommendation(s) to the House as indeed required by the Constitution.

Honourable Members, may I, at this point, remind the House of the existence of a Bill similar to the National Flag, Emblems and Names Bill (Senate Bill No. 36 of 2020) which is currently before the House and which originated in the Senate and has been undergoing consideration in the National Assembly, pending consideration in Committee of the whole House.

Honourable Members, in my view, the genesis of having two Senate Bills which are similar is due to the misinterpretation by the Senate of the High Court decision in Petition No. 284 of 2019 which as you may be aware, had earlier ordered the cessation of consideration of all Bills that were pending before either House, and for which joint resolution by the Speakers of both Houses as to whether the Bills concern county governments, had not been demonstrated to allow for such Bills to be subjected to the resolution process as contemplated under Article 110(3) of the Constitution.

Honourable Members, you will however observe from the High Court judgment that the Court **did not in any way direct or order for republication of any Bill**. Certainly, the misinterpretation of the High Court decision will frustrate the legislative business of Parliament, its Committees and individual Members. Further, it shall strain the comity between the two Houses and in the end, impede the legislative authority of the Institution of Parliament as provided for in Articles 94, 95 and 96 of the Constitution.

Honourable Members, to illustrate this, several Bills of this House have suffered from this erroneous interpretation and their consideration has been halted by the Senate. These Bills include the Kenya National Library Service Bill, 2020, the Parliamentary Pensions (Amendment) (No.3) Bill, 2019, the Public Service (Values and Principles) (Amendment) Bill, 2019, and the National Youth Council (Amendment) Bill, 2019.

Honourable Members, it is not my wish to frustrate the business of this House and to this end, I intend to engage my counterpart to consider the best way forward on the Bills so that we can move forward the legislative business of Parliament as directed by the Court of Appeal which vacated the High Court Judgment and ordered **that both Speakers do hold consultations as may be necessary in the public interest so as to move forward with the respective business and mandates of each House**.

Honourable Members, it is on this basis that the two Speakers made a resolution that **all the Senate Bills pending at different stages in the National Assembly and all the National Assembly Bills pending at different stages in the Senate are Bills concerning county governments in terms of Article 110(1) of the Constitution and would proceed in accordance with the provisions of Article 109(4) of the Constitution.** This resolution was arrived at in **order to move forward the legislative business of this House and that of the Senate.** Certainly, in my view, the National Flag, Emblems and Names Bill (Senate Bill No.8 of 2017) being one of the Senate Bills pending before this House ought to proceed accordingly as per the resolution of the two Speakers.

Honourable Members, however in light of the foregoing and the Senate having forwarded a republished Bill which is similar to the National Flag, Emblems and Names Bill (Senate Bill No.8 of 2017) that is already before this House, the Departmental Committee on Administration and National Security is required to recommend to this House which version of the two Bills that are now active in the House should be prioritized.

Honourable Members, Similarly, there is already a Coffee Bill (National Assembly Bill No. 17 of 2021) before the House sponsored by the Leader of Majority Party, which was read a First Time on 8th June 2021 and which is clearly a Money-Bill given that its enactment shall occasion additional expenditure of public funds.

Honourable Members, Further, regarding the Parliamentary Powers and Privileges (Amendment) Bill (Senate Bill No. 33 of 2020), the Committee of Powers and Privileges may wish to take advantage of the Senate Bill to address the existing concerns regarding Chairing of the Committee, particularly the fact that the Committee is currently chaired by the Speaker who is also required to preside over deliberations on the Reports of the Powers and Privileges Committee in the House. In addition, the Committee may also consider proposing amendments to the Act to legislate on some aspects that have been pending before the Committee, among other things—

- (a) need to align the Act with the decision of the High Court in Constitutional Petition No. 472 of 2017 which declared sections 7 and 11 of the Parliamentary Powers and Privileges Act No.29 of 2017 unconstitutional;
- (b) reviewing the composition of the Committee to include ranking members of the House;
- (c) providing for establishment of a position of vice-chairperson of the Committee; and,
- (d) defining the status of the Reports of the Committee of Powers and Privileges recommending any of the disciplinary action under section 17(3) of Parliamentary Powers and Privileges Act, 2017.

However, the decision as to whether such amendments should be incorporated in the Senate Bill as further amendments or be contained in a separate Bill lies with the Committee.

Honourable Members, having said that allow me to conclude by observing that the reports of the respective Committees shall guide the House with respect to the next stages and prioritization of the said Bills. I therefore request the Committees to prioritize the Five Senate Bills Messages in their respective agenda.

I thank you!"

6. PETITIONS

The following Petition was conveyed by the Speaker:-

PETITION REGARDING AMENDMENT OF THE NATIONAL CONSTRUCTION AUTHORITY ACT TO PROVIDE FOR INCLUSION OF PROJECT COSTS ON THE PROJECT SIGN BOARD FOR ALL PUBLIC FUNDED PROJECTS

“Honourable Members, Standing Order 225(2) (b) requires the Speaker to report to the House any petition, other than those presented by a Member. Further, Article 119 of the Constitution provides for the right of any person to petition Parliament to consider any matter within its authority, including petitioning the House to enact, amend or repeal any legislation.

In this regard, **Honourable Members,** I wish to report to the House that my office has received a petition signed by Mr. Boniface Mwai Gachunga of ID No. 22377410. The Petitioner is concerned that both the National Construction Authority Act, 2011 and the National Construction Authority Regulations, 2014 do not include the project cost for public funded projects in the list of items required to be included in project sign boards. They aver that this omission negates the constitutional principle of good governance, integrity, transparency and accountability envisaged under Article 10(2)(c) of the Constitution.

Honourable Members, the Petitioner is therefore praying that the National Assembly amends the relevant provisions of the National Construction Authority Act, 2011 with a view to entrenching in law a requirement to make it mandatory for project sign boards to also display the full cost of public funded projects.

Honourable Members, having determined that the matters raised by the petitioner are well within the authority of this House, I order that, pursuant to the provisions of Standing Order 227(1), this Petition be committed to the Departmental Committee on Transport, Public Works and Housing. The Committee is required to consider the Petition and report its findings to the House and the Petitioners in accordance with Standing Order 227(2).

I thank you!”

7. PAPERS

The following papers were laid on the Table of the House-

- i. Annual Report for the 2020/2021 financial year from the Independent Electoral and Boundaries Commission; and
- ii. Reports of the Auditor-General and Financial Statements in respect of Langata Constituency and FC Talanta for the year ended 30th June, 2019 and the certificates therein.
(Leader of the Majority Party)
- iii. The Implementation Status of the Report of the Departmental Committee on Health regarding the Kenyatta University Teaching and Referral Hospital Project;
- iv. The Implementation Status of the Report of the Departmental Committee on Environment and Natural Resources on an Inquiry into Complaints of Environmental Pollution by London Distillers Kenya Limited; and
- v. The Implementation Status of the Report of the Departmental Committee on Lands regarding land issues in Taita Taveta County.
(Chairperson, Select Committee on Implementation)
- vi. Addendum Report of the Departmental Committee of Agriculture and Livestock on its consideration of the proposed amendments to the Sugar Bill (National Assembly Bill No. 68 of 2019).
(Member, Departmental Committee on Agriculture and Livestock)
- vii. Report of the Departmental Committee on Transport, Public Works and Housing on the Ratification of the Bilateral Air Services Agreement between the Government of the Republic of Kenya and the Republic of the Russian Federation.

(Chairperson, Departmental Committee on Transport, Public Works and Housing)

- viii. Report of the Constitutional Implementation Oversight Committee on its consideration of the Kenya National Commission on Human Rights (Amendment) Bill (National Assembly Bill No. 1 of 2020).

(Chairperson, Constitutional Implementation Oversight Committee)

8. **NOTICES OF MOTION**

The following Notices of Motion were given-

(i) REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON IMPLEMENTATION REGARDING THE KENYATTA UNIVERSITY TEACHING AND REFERRAL HOSPITAL PROJECT

THAT, this House adopts the Report of the of the Select Committee on Implementation on its consideration of the Implementation Status of the Report of the Departmental Committee on Health regarding the Kenyatta University Teaching and Referral Hospital Project, *laid on the Table of the House on Thursday, September 30, 2021,*

(Chairperson, Committee on Implementation)

(ii) REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON IMPLEMENTATION ON AN INQUIRY INTO COMPLAINTS OF ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTION BY LONDON DISTILLERS KENYA LIMITED

THAT, this House adopts the Report of the of the Select Committee on Implementation on its consideration of the Implementation Status of the Report of the Departmental Committee on Environment and Natural Resources on the Inquiry into complaints of environmental pollution by London Distillers Kenya Limited, *laid on the Table of the House on Thursday, September 30, 2021.*

(Chairperson, Committee on Implementation)

(iii) REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON IMPLEMENTATION REGARDING LAND ISSUES IN TAITA TAVETA COUNTY

THAT, this House adopts the Report of the of the Select Committee on Implementation on its consideration of the Implementation Status of the Report of the

Departmental Committee on Lands regarding land issues in Taita Taveta County, *laid on the Table of the House on Thursday, September 30, 2021.*

(Chairperson, Committee on Implementation)

(iv) REPORT OF THE DEPARTMENTAL COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORT, PUBLIC WORKS AND HOUSING ON THE RATIFICATION OF THE BILATERAL AIR SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF KENYA AND THE GOVERNMENT OF THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION

THAT, this House **adopts** the Report of the Departmental Committee on Transport, Public Works and Housing on the ratification of the Bilateral Air Services Agreement between the Government of the Republic of Kenya and the Government of the Russian Federation, *laid on the Table of the House on Thursday, 30 September, 2021*, and pursuant to the provisions of Section 8(4) of the Treaty making and Ratification Act, 2012, **approves** the *Bilateral Air Services Agreement between the Government of the Republic of Kenya and the Government of the Russian Federation.*

(Chairperson, Departmental Committee on Transport, Public Works and Housing)

9. **QUESTIONS**

(a) The following Questions were asked –

- (i) Question No.004/2021 by the **Member for Rarieda (Hon. (Dr.) Otiende Amollo, MP)** regarding investigations into the disappearance of *Chief Inspector Francis Isaac Oyaro of P/No. 7723.*

(To be replied to by the Cabinet Secretary for Interior and Coordination of National Government before the Departmental Committee on Administration and National Security)

- (ii) Question No.005/2021 by the **Member for Kaloleni (Hon. Paul Katana, MP)** regarding compensation of the family of *Mr. Chivatsi Meri Mwadzine*, whose daughter was killed by an Elephant.

(To be replied to by the Cabinet Secretary for Tourism and Wildlife before the Departmental Committee on Environment and Natural Resources)

(b) The following Questions were deferred upon request by the respective Members –

- (i) Question No.343/2021 by the Member for Lamu West Constituency (Hon. Stanley Muthama, MP) regarding effects of famine in Lamu West Constituency.
- (ii) Question No.364/2021 by the Member for Matuga Constituency (Hon. Kassim Tandaza, MP) regarding implementation of the Competency Based Curriculum (CBC).

10. **STATEMENTS PURSUANT TO STANDING ORDER 44(2)(c)**

- i. The Nominated Member (*The Hon. (Prof.) Jacqueline Oduol, MP*) requested for a Statement from the Chairperson of the Departmental Committee on Labour and Social Welfare concerning Recognition and protection of the family by the state;

- ii. The Member for Kajiado Central (*The Hon. Elijah Kanchory, MP*) requested for a Statement from the Chairperson of the Departmental Committee on Education and Research concerning Rationale used in declaration of Kajiado Central and parts of Baringo County as non-hardship areas;
- iii. The Member for Igembe North (*The Hon. Kubai Iringo, MP*) requested for a Statement from the Chairperson of the Departmental Committee on Environment and Natural Resources concerning Termination of employment of a former Kenya Wildlife Service (KWS) ranger.

11. **STATEMENTS PURSUANT TO STANDING ORDER 44(2)(a)**

Pursuant to the provisions of Standing Order 44(2)(a), the Leader of the Majority Party issued a Statement regarding the Business of the House for the week commencing Tuesday, October 5, 2021.

12. **THE COFFEE BILL (SENATE BILL NO. 22 OF 2020)**

(The Chairperson, Departmental Committee on Agriculture and Livestock)

Order for First Reading read;

Bill read a First Time and referred to the Departmental Committee on Agriculture & Livestock pursuant to Standing Orders 127(1) and 143.

13. **THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION AND MANAGEMENT (AMENDMENT) BILL (SENATE BILL NO. 30 OF 2020)**

(The Chairperson, Departmental Committee on Environment and Natural Resources)

Order for First Reading read;

Bill read a First Time and referred to the Departmental Committee on Environment & Natural Resources pursuant to Standing Orders 127(1) and 143.

14. **THE PARLIAMENTARY POWERS AND PRIVILEGES (AMENDMENT) BILL (SENATE BILL NO. 33 OF 2020)**

(The Chairperson, Committee on Parliamentary Powers and Privileges)

Order for First Reading read;

Bill read a First Time and referred to the Committee on Parliamentary Powers and Privileges pursuant to the Standing Orders 127(1) and 143.

15. **THE COMMUNITY HEALTH SERVICES BILL (SENATE BILL NO. 34 OF 2020)**

(The Chairperson, Departmental Committee on Health)

Order for First Reading read;

Bill read a First Time and referred to the Departmental Committee on Health pursuant to Standing Orders 127(1) and 143.

16. **THE NATIONAL FLAG, EMBLEMS AND NAMES (AMENDMENT) BILL (SENATE BILL NO. 36 OF 2020)**

(The Chairperson, Departmental Committee on Administration and National Security)

Order for First Reading read;

Bill read a First Time and referred to the Departmental Committee on Administration and National Security pursuant to Standing Orders 127(1) and 143.

17. **THE PERPETUITIES AND ACCUMULATIONS (AMENDMENT) BILL (NATIONAL ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 24 OF 2021)**

Order for Second Reading read;

Motion made and Question proposed-

THAT, The Perpetuities and Accumulations (Amendment) Bill (National Assembly Bill No. 24 of 2021) be now read a Second Time.

(The Chairperson, Departmental Committee on Finance and National Planning)

Debate arising;

[Change of Chair from the Speaker to the Second Chairperson]

Mover replied;

Question deferred.

18. **MOTION - RATIFICATION OF VARIOUS PROTOCOLS UNDER THE MARITIME LABOUR CONVENTION AND THE INTERNATIONAL LABOUR ORGANIZATION AND THE AMENDMENTS THEREIN**

THAT, this House **adopts** the Report of the Departmental Committee on Transport, Public Works and Housing on the Ratification of the 2014 and 2018 Amendments to the Maritime Labour Convention, 2006; the International Labour Organization Convention C185 (Amended Convention on Seafarers Identity Documents, 2003); the International Labour Organization Convention C188 (Work in Fishing Convention, 2007); the International Convention on the Standards of Training, Certification and Watchkeeping for Fishing Vessel Personnel, 1995; and the Cape Town Agreement of 2012 on Safety of Fishing Vessels, *laid on the Table of the House on Thursday, September 23, 2021*, and pursuant to the provisions of section 8(4) of the Treaty Making and Ratification Act, 2012, **approves** the *Ratification of* -

- (i) *the Amendments to the Maritime Labour Convention, 2006 (2014 and 2018 Amendments);*
- (ii) *the International Labour Organization Convention C185 (Amended Convention on Seafarers Identity Documents, 2003);*
- (iii) *the International Labour Organization Convention C188 (Work in Fishing Convention, 2007);*

- (iv) *the International Convention on the Standards of Training, Certification and Watchkeeping for Fishing Vessel Personnel, 1995; and,*
- (v) *the Cape Town Agreement of 2012 on Safety of Fishing Vessels.*

(The Chairperson, Departmental Committee on Transport, Public Works and Housing)

Debate arising;

Mover replied;

Question deferred.

19. **MOTION - REPORT ON A PUBLIC PETITION REGARDING RECOGNITION OF THE PEMBA PEOPLE OF KENYA AS CITIZENS OF THE REPUBLIC OF KENYA**

THAT, this House **adopts** the Report of the Departmental Committee on Administration and National Security on its consideration of a Public Petition regarding Recognition of the Pemba People of Kenya as Citizens of the Republic of Kenya, *laid on the Table of the House on Wednesday, August 11, 2021.*

(The Chairperson, Departmental Committee on Administration and National Security)

Debate arising;

Mover replied;

Question deferred.

And the time being four minutes to Seven One O'clock, the Second Chairperson interrupted the proceedings and adjourned the House without Question put pursuant to the Standing Orders.

20. **HOUSE ROSE** - at four minutes to Seven O'clock

M E M O R A N D U M

The Speaker will take the Chair on
 Tuesday, October 5, 2021 at 2.30pm

---x---